

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

Minutes: State Board of Professional Engineers
 Date: May 13, 2010
 Time: 10:42 AM
 Location: Springfield Office, room 202N

Board Members Present: Benjamin D. Miller, Chairman
 Charles R. Rayot, Vice-Chairman
 Philip M. Corlew, Member
 William C. Eves, Member
 Edward Gaffigan, Member
 Proshanta K. Nandi, Public Member
 John M. Whitt, Member

Board Members Absent: John C. Dillaplain, Member
 John P. Hoffstatter, Member
 Richard M. Ray, Member

The Board Members present constituted a quorum of the Board.

Staff Present: M. David Brim, Design Licensing Manager

Guests Present: Kim Robinson, ISPE

Topic	Discussion	Action
I. Announcements, Correspondence	Ben Miller stated that due to the heavy application review and the new Board Members, that the business meeting will be short.	Meeting was called to order at 10:42 am
II. Welcome New Members a. Introduction William C. Eves Richard M. Ray John M. Whitt b. Arrange ID Badges c. Training Plan	Welcome New Members a. Introduction William C. Eves Richard M. Ray John M. Whitt The new Board Members were welcomed and introduced to the other Board Members. Mr. Ray was not at the Board meeting and will be introduced at the next meeting. b. Arrange ID Badges M. David Brims stated that while he was out, the arrangements for completing an ID Badge had changed and that he would send out the information to the Board Members. c. Training Plan Each of new Board Members were told that they would pair with an older Board Member to learn how to review applications. They should always ask questions, as the application review and other duties are not necessarily immediately apparent.	

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

	<p>As one of the duties of the Board is to provide members to sit in on informal conferences and appear at the Complaint Review Committee/Subcommittee meetings, the Chair appoints Mr. Whitt to attend the Complaint Review Committee/Subcommittee meetings held in Chicago. The Chicago schedule will be Miller/Whitt/Ray with Mr. Ray as the alternate. The Springfield schedule will be Gaffigan/Rayot/Dillaplain with Mr. Dillaplain as the alternate.</p>	
<p>III. Review of Open Minutes.</p>	<p>Minutes of the October 18, 2009 meeting were reviewed.</p> <p>Minutes of the February 18, 2010 meeting were reviewed. The Board discussed the minutes and requested that the minutes be amended.</p> <p>Section V. A which read: Direct Supervision Definition</p> <p>The PE Board members and Association members present agreed, the amendments proposed to Section 1380.300 Standards of Professional Conduct of the Administrative is acceptable.</p> <p>Should be replaced with the following Direct Supervision Definition</p> <p>The PE Board members and Association members present discussed proposed amendments to Section 1380.300 Standards of Professional Conduct.</p> <p>Section V. C which originally read:</p> <p>Structural experience, is it PE experience:</p> <p>After lengthy discussion between the Association, Board and Department, it was agreed that no overall determination (whether the experience is engineering or structural engineering) can be reached for all applicants; and that each application for licensure as a professional engineer should be reviewed by the members of the Board to determine the applicant's qualifications for licensure. The</p>	<p>Motion was made, seconded (Corlew/Gaffigan) and passed to accept the minutes of October 18, 2009 as written.</p>

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

	<p>Departments processors should not have the authority to determine the experience qualifications.</p> <p>It was further agreed that the Department must develop a revised VE-PNG form(s). The revised form(s) should focus and expand the information to further explain the engineering discipline the engineer intern duties the applicant is performing.</p> <p>Should be replaced with the following:</p> <p>Structural experience, is it PE experience:</p> <p>There was a lengthy discussion between the Association, Board and Department.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No overall determination (whether the experience is engineering or structural engineering) can be reached for all applicants. • Each application for licensure as a professional engineer should be reviewed by the members of the Board to determine the applicant’s qualifications for licensure. • The VE-PNG form should be revised. The revisions should focus and expand the information to further explain the engineering discipline the engineer intern duties the applicant is performing. Richard Suhadolc appointed Philip Corlew to submit proposed changes. 	<p>Motion was made, seconded (Corlew/Rayot) and passed to accept the minutes of February 18, 2010 as amended.</p>
<p>IV. Old Business</p> <p>a. Structural applicants/experience</p> <p>b. Direct supervisory control</p> <p>c. Clarification of exam prerequisites for FE/P&P</p>	<p>a. Structural applicants/experience</p> <p>The Board continued the discussion on applicants whose experience is structural in nature. Mr. Corlew is directed to review the VE-SEG form (the Structural Engineer experience verification form) and examine it for possible inspiration for the changes to the VE-PNG form that is utilized by the Professional Engineer applications.</p> <p>The Board and Ms. Robinson discussed the problem that the Design Unit staff had sent out letters denying the applicants. Mr. Brim stated that the Design Unit had not denied anyone, but had sent out letters that required experience under a PE. Ms. Robinson stated that the applicants had read that as being denied as their</p>	

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

	<p>experience was not being accepted. Mr. Brims stated that in those cases, when the person had passed the exams, which by the change in the Act they were qualified to do as they had an ABET accredited degree, their application would be presented to the Board after passage of the exam for review.</p> <p>Ms. Robinson stated that she would like the Board to review the experience as she feels that it still requires engineering skills to complete.</p> <p>The consensus of the Board is that the experience would be reviewed and if there is a mix of both civil and structural work, it is more than likely that the experience would be approved toward licensure.</p> <p>b. Direct supervisory control Ben Miller: The Act is clear that the work has to be done under the direct supervision or that you must be familiar with all details of the work. Mr. Corlew felt that it was not clear enough and that it needs clarification. The Board decided that this should be kept on Old Business for the next meeting.</p> <p>c. Clarification of exam prerequisites for FE/P&P There has been a major change in the PE Act from the standpoint of the Board where applicants can take the exams prior to applying to the Department (if they have an ABET accredited degree). Ms. Robinson said that a person could not take the P&P before passing the FE. M. David Brim stated that requirement had been removed many years ago and that a graduate could take the P&P before passing the FE, but that both were required for licensure. Ms. Robinson objected saying that the P&P could not be taken in the senior year of college. Mr. Brim agreed, the change in the Act allowed a graduate to take both exams on the same weekend; the only exam a senior could take was the FE exam. Ms.</p>	<p>Motion was made, seconded (Corlew/Rayot) and passed: That the Board will allow the Department to review all applications except applications that indicate structural experience, applications with non-ABET education, restorations, and any other exceptions such as a positive personal history</p>
--	---	---

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

	<p>Robinson stated that the way the Act was written was confusing.</p> <p>Ms. Robinson also stated that the requirement that all applicants have an NCEES ID complicates the issue. Mr. Brim agreed. The information that he shared with the Board is that NCEES would only start giving out the ID after July 6, 2010 and would stop by September 12, 2010. The CTS deadline will be August 30, as CTS must send all records to NCEES before September 12. After that date there would be a one-week reconciliation period where both NCEES and CTS lists must match or the applicants would not be allowed to test. This means no late registration.</p> <p>With an ABET accredited degree, the applicant can take the exams by signing up directly with CTS and NCEES. Anyone who does not have an ABET accredited degree must apply to the Department for approval to sit for the exam.</p> <p>Mr. Gaffigan referred to an issue of <i>Professional Engineer Exchange</i> that covered the proposed MLE requirements and stated that in the future the requirements may be the BS+30 AND 4 years experience. Mr. Gaffigan was concerned that NCEES may not allow Illinois applicants to sit for the exam. Mr. Miller responded that this was NCEES Model Law and Illinois does not follow the Model Law of NCEES now, and probably never will.</p>	
<p>V. Complaint Review Committee/Subcommittee Reports</p>	<p>Complaint Review Committee/Subcommittee Reports:</p> <p>Ben Miller reported on the March 11, 2010 meeting:</p> <p>In Investigations:</p> <p>Architect – 62 PE – 21 PLS – 29 SE – 9 PDF – 47 Total 168.</p> <p>One of the Land Surveyor cases was a consumer fraud investigation where a person had used someone else’s license.</p> <p>Cases Closed</p> <p>Architect – 0 PE – 2 PLS – 1 SE – 0</p> <p>Referred to prosecutions</p> <p>Architect – 2 PE – 0 PLS - 1</p>	

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL REGULATION
 STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

	<p>SE -1</p> <p>Opened Architect - 10 PE - 3 PLS - 0 SE -0</p> <p>Charles Rayot reported on the April 14 meeting: In Investigations: PE - 25 Architect - 64 PLS - 28 SE - 10 PDF - 47 Total 174</p> <p>Closed Architect - 1 PE - 0 PLS - 3 SE - 0</p> <p>Opened Architect - 5 PE - 4 PLS - 2 SE -1</p> <p>In Prosecutions Architect - 20 PE - 12 PLS - 4 SE - 9 PDF - 13</p> <p>An informal was held in conjunction with the Land Surveyors.</p>	
<p>VI. New Business a. Meeting schedule for FY 2011</p>	<p>.</p> <p>a. Meeting schedule for FY 2011 M. David Brim stated that as he was absent from November to May, he is late in getting the schedule completed. He asked the Board members to let him know when they would not be available and any dates that should be avoided (NCEES Zone Meetings, Annual meetings, etc.).</p>	
<p>VII. Closed Session: A. Review of Closed Minutes B. Application Review</p>	<p>A. Review of Closed Minutes B. Application Review</p>	
<p>Closed Session</p>	<p>Roll Call: Benjamin D. Miller Charles R. Rayot</p>	<p>Motion was made, seconded (Corlew/Rayot) and passed to go into Closed Session pursuant to</p>

